

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	Fi	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/537,364	03/29/2000		Richard M. Coleman	8190-385	6669
826	7590	03/27/2004		EXAMINER	
ALSTON &			FULLER, RODNEY EVAN		
· -	BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000				PAPER NUMBER
CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000				2851	

DATE MAILED: 03/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				uvC					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)						
		09/537,364	COLEMAN ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
		Rodney E Fuller	2851						
Pari	The MAILING DATE of this communication app iod for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess					
			·-> · ·						
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this come (D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.					
Stat	tus								
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ma	arch 2000.							
2		action is non-final.							
	3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the n	nerits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disj	position of Claims								
-	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	`							
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.							
Apr	olication Papers								
		_							
	9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 29 March 2000 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
•			•						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o		•	4 404(4)					
1	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.			• /					
	, —		Action of formal To	102.					
	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
1	 2) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 		-(d) or (f).						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National St	age					
	application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.						
Attac	chment(s)								
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Linterview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	,						
3) [Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P		52)					
	· - p - · · · - , - , · · · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · 	·, — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract exceeds the 150-words limit. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Election/Restrictions

- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-4, drawn to a general method of qualifying accuracy of a machining system, classified in class 356, subclass 614.
 - II. Claims 5-12, 20-31 and 32-42 are drawn to a method of qualifying the accuracy of a machining system that includes mounting a contact measuring probe on the machine, classified in class 700, subclass 195.
 - III. Claims 13-19 are drawn to a method of assessing accuracy of a multi-axis numerically controlled machine that includes mounting a target on the machine, classified in class 356, subclass 620.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Application/Control Number: 09/537,364

Art Unit: 2851

- 4. Inventions I, II and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed and the subcombination has separate utility because the method (Group I) of qualifying the accuracy of a machining system could be used with either method (Group II or Group III) for qualifying the accuracy of a machining system, or any other method/system where the maximum allowable error is determined.
- (Group I): Claims 1-4 are directed towards a method consisting of:
- (1) identifying a plurality of quantifiable potential errors, (2) deriving an equation for each error relating the magnitude of the machine tool position error, (3) statistically adding the plurality of position errors so as to determine a total position error, (4) establishing a maximum allowable value for the total position error, and (5) determining a maximum allowable magnitude for each error.
 - (Group II): Claims 5-12, 20-31 and 32-42 are directed towards a method consisting of:
- (1) mounting a contact measuring probe in a spindle of the machine, (2) checking positioning accuracy of the machine, (3) checking positioning accuracy of the holding fixture.
 - Group (III): Claims 13-19 are directed towards a method consisting of:
- (1) mounting a target on the machine adjacent the spindle and mounting a measuring instrument in a fixed position proximate the machine, (2) creating a master frame of reference,

Art Unit: 2851

(3) checking accuracy of positioning of the machine, (4) measuring the coordinates of the target, and (5) determining errors in positioning of the machine.

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II or III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 2851

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney E Fuller whose telephone number is 571-272-2118. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Russ Adams can be reached on 571-272-2112. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rodney E Fuller Primary Examiner Art Unit 2851

March 18, 2004